

Compliance Verification Workshop Out-Brief

September 2004



Our CVG Workshop Goals Were:

- Collect feedback on the Audit processes.
- Collect feedback on the CVG Materials.



Programmatic Audit and Review Process Discussion—General Comments

- Develop separate process flows for new vs. existing programs
- Develop a layered approach to verification that takes advantage of existing center SMA processes and activities
- Emphasize SMA compliance verification (vs. Technical requirements)
- Utilize program/project definition as in 7120.5
- Acknowledged goal of doing audit process with the focus of supporting an FRR/CoFR decision
- Create best possible audit process without considering resource constraints
- Further define/clarify roles and responsibilities for the independent Core Team



Programmatic Audit and Review Process Discussion—General Comments (continued)

- Develop separate process for developing Agency audit capabilities, including selection, training, qualification, certification, re-certification, funding, and staffing of auditors
- Establish formal requirement for Assurance Process Map/Matrix—Center SMA develops, OSMA verifies
 - Positive feedback received on Assurance Process Map/Matrix
- Define acceptance criteria for establishing minimum level of OQE for verifying compliance
- Consider mapping current SMA processes side by side with proposed compliance verification processes
- Further clarify how independent SMA verification process interacts with the in-line mission assurance verification



Programmatic Audit and Review Process Discussion

Issues that need further resolution

- ITA (Independent Technical Authority)
- "The Deal"
- Resources
 - Travel/per diem/day rate, training at centers in SMA fields of expertise
- Presentation of Program Assessment and Review Processes to Mission Directorates and other parts of the Agency
 - Partner briefing with Chief Engineer's Office/IPAO
 - Meet with Exploration Systems SMA rep. to discuss process
- SMA PA&R roles and responsibilities at the centers vs. HQ vs.
 Agency-wide



Programmatic Audit and Review Process Discussion

- Please turn in your written comments here, or, if you need more time to write them down, please send them to:
 - Steve Wander
 NASA Headquarters/QV
 300 E. Street, SW
 Washington, DC 20546
 - swander@hq.nasa.gov



IFO Safety Audit Process Discussion

- Major Discussions
 - Need detailed IFO Audit Process instruction (In-Progress)
 - IFO Audit Process and Results need to be "Value Added"
 - Constructed so as to be able to provide an indication of "Health of the Safety Program"
 - Compliance Verification Safety Audits have to be endorsed Agency-Wide (Headquarters' Executive Council)
- "Parking Lot" Discussions
 - How to get "buy-in" from the Programs to accept the investment (\$\$) of this effort





IFO CVG Documents Reviewed

- Safety and Mission Assurance
- Safety Manual
- Safety and Health
- Facility Safety
- Fire Protection
- Pressure Vessels
- Underwater Facility Safety (Non-Open Water)

- Lifting Devices
- Aviation Safety
- GIDEP
- Metrology and Calibration
- Explosive Safety
- Continuity of Operations
- Emergency Preparedness
- Mishap Investigations



IFO General "Parking Lot" Comments

- ITA (Independent Technical Authority)
- In pursuit of "no kidding" safety requirements, might need to define (and narrow) the scope (similar to SUBSAFE box)
 - Public? Crew?
- Better define the Audience for each of the CVG Products
 - Make sure CVG material fits audience
 - May have more than 1 audience for a product (Brochure)
 - Use of questions: general awareness or audit questions



IFO General "Parking Lot" Comments

- Full Cost Accounting vs Full Risks Acceptance
- Cost/Schedule vs Compliance of Requirements (Compliance to SUBSAFE requirements takes precedence over Cost/Schedule)
- Relationship between Inter-Center Aircraft Operations Panel (IAOP) Reviews and OSMA Aviation Safety Reviews
- Range Safety as part of IFO Safety Audit and not Programmatic
- May need to do similar "focus and clarity" on other documents (1280, 7120.4, 7120.5, 8070, etc.)
- Changes to New organization titles, etc. resulting from Agency "Transformation" to be updated for all CVG documents understood



CVG Document Comments

- Collected specific feedback on many of the IFO CVG products
 - Some excellent recommendations for improvements
- A couple of IFO CVG process areas received no input
- It was recognized that a couple IFO CVG process areas were to be revised in near future, so time was not spent on those
 - Underwater Facility Safety
 - Explosive Safety
- 27 Change Request Forms were filled out by hand and turned in during the last two days
- Received about 15 pages of comments from various organizations before the Workshop



IFO Safety Audit Program – Next Steps

- Complete the development of the IFO Safety Audit Process Instruction
- Extended IFO Safety CVG Comment Period
 - Due to OSMA/Perot by September 28, 2004
 - Email to Art Lee/OSMA or Tom Bacus/Perot
- All IFO Safety CVG Comments will be captured, reviewed and dispositioned by OSMA
- Results of all IFO Safety CVG Comments/Disposition will be provided to Headquarters' & Centers' Participants prior to Final Products



Thank you for your time and participation



Summary

Dr. J. Steven Newman



Environment of Change & Uncertainty

- RTF
- ITA "The Deal"
- Presidents Vision
- CAIB
- Diaz Report
- BST Survey
- Full Cost Accounting
- 7120.5C Revision
- Requirements Instability
- Budget Constraints

Programmatic Review Process

- Excellent work by Steve Wander
- Outstanding discussion by the participants
- Moving out to implement draft process with selected <u>existing</u> <u>programs</u>
- More work to be completed on <u>new programs</u>
 - ITA TBD
- Processes to be formalized (NPR)



IFO Safety Review Process

- Excellent work by Art Lee
- Outstanding discussion by the participants
- Processes to be formalized (NPR)
- Moving out on an aggressive schedule for 2005



CVG Material

- Awareness and Informational Brochures and One-Page Briefs are on track
 - Refinement / revision in-work
- CVG Audit Abstracts will continue to be refined to support audit activity
 - More dialogue is required both within the OSMA office and with the Centers



Lunch Speakers Provide Good Context & Basis for Compliance Verification Discussions

- Mr. Al Ford provided an excellent overview of the Submarine Safety Program
- Mr. Tim Bassett provided outstanding insight into the Submarine Safety Program from the auditee perspective
- Excellent discussion and questions by all participants



Work to be Accomplished....

- Additional CVG feedback is solicited from all participants
- Review and Assessment Division will provide feedback on all Parking Lot items as Agency policy evolves
- Review and Assessment Division will document and address all comments received prior to and during the conference
 - Audit Processes
 - CVG Material



Thanks again for your participation

Travel Safely